

Draft Minutes  
COLD Executive Committee  
8 January 2020

Present: Emily Bonney, Cesar Caballero, Jen Fabbi, Amy Kautzman, Patrick Newell, Carlos Rodriguez, David Walker, John Wenzler.

- CSU/Elsevier (AmyK, EddieC)
  - Review of most recent offer.
  - Elsevier accepted 0% first year and is revising title list which was inaccurate and shorter than what actually had been provided.
  - Understand CSUs interested in supporting UC and also looking at the Open Access piece.
  - Eddie insisting on more detail on second year.
  - Day we posted the communique on website Elsevier notified Eddie that since negotiations were taking longer than expected they would extend the contract to 10 January and then cut off. Also playing hardball with UNC but not with others.
  - Eddie in a 6 January communication emphasized negotiations were in good faith.
  - Amy suspects Elsevier has too much going on and not enough staff to manage the renewals, so they are trying to close down some of the conversations.
  - Although we need information on second year, at the moment proposal looks like a good price and there is no reason not to renew.
  - Amy further suspects Elsevier's goal with so favorable an offer is to split CSU from UC as CSU faculty are not deeply engaged with Open Access issue.
  
- Reporting out: Shared Cost Working Group (EmilyB)
  - Statement of principles behind proposed formula appears to overlap with EAR redesign ideas.
  - Tradition of principle of equity among the campuses with respect to resources makes bullet point on subsidization unacceptable.
  - Need greater clarity on formula and include examples that would demonstrate how it works.
  - Revised document to ExCom for February meeting.
  
- Reporting out: EAR Redesign (CarlosR, JenF & CesarC)
  - At last full COLD meeting plan was approved. Carlos, Jen and Cesar have identified members of a working group that includes them as well as Del Hornbuckle as vice-chair of EAR, Mark Bilby, Kathleen Hanson and Eddie Choy.
  - Initial meeting group reviewed the timeline and the essential actions. Hope to draft updated structure and communications plan. Survey will build on CERPE survey and explore additional areas identified in the plan. Still intend to have draft plan by March COLD meeting and then get input from stakeholders.

- EAR Zoom meeting in December had 37 attendees indicating how much impact EAR could have as a core.
  - Working group will meet at least twice a month including an in-person conversation at SCELC as well. Intend to create a webpage they will share with COLD. Developing document on a google drive and will create another page on confluence to share privately with the deans.
  - Discussion about the number and variety of people engages in the work addressed by EAR. There is a need for a formal list of collection development and e-resource people so the committee can communicate with them.
  - Real interest in creating community of practice.
  - Perhaps make a query to the deans for the one person on their campus who should take the lead and be the point of contact.
- Exploration of LSS Classification (JenF)
    - Brought up in deans only session at COLD.
    - Concerns about the way in which LS classifications are framed and the sense that they are seen as somehow at a different level from other staff on campus. Compensation generally too low.
    - Need a collective effort and will to address this issue.
    - At the same time would require positive response from the union
- CPO for Scholarworks development work (DavidW) (not addressed)
- Updates & Committee Reports (please send written updates if there are any)
    - Student Success (Elliott)
    - EAR (Caballero)
    - ScholCom (Newell)
    - STIM (Rodriguez)
    - ULMS (Wenzler)