COLD Ex Comm 5 February 2020

Meeting Notes

1. SU/Elsevier (AmyK) Catch everybody up on most recent offer with Michelle
	1. 0% first year and .5 the second year
	2. Expanded collection access
		1. Had access to UC Gratis and they are now identifying that content as CSU Access Collection
		2. They are adding the Freedom Collection
	3. Acquiesced to unlimited waiver APC fees for corresponding authors.
	4. Appears to be expanded backfile access butthat needs to be confirmed. This term would provide equity across the system, i.e. the same deep backfile access for all campuses.
	5. There has been discussion about the CSUs’ future collaboration with UCs. That issue remains unresolved, but there will be something about this matter in the agreement. Michelle reads that provision as a message to the rest of the world about the direction we will be heading in the future.
	6. Amy informs us that SCELC is getting 2% and 2%. They did not ask for the 0%. SCELC also is interested in partnering with CSU and UC. We have the largest state system, and if SCELC partners with us the fifth largest economy in the world can set the terms. UWash got 7%, and they were proud for that achievement.
	7. 17 libraries have been paying for additional journals, and the Freedom Collection will provide savings on those titles for those libraries.
	8. Working on final language and publicity and the final collaboration with the UC. If SCELC and CSU sign up then there is pressure on UC to participate as well. They want full OA, and as that is not part of our agenda we have to communicate in a delicate way and will need FAQs. We must ensure that Elsevier doesn’t characterize this as a transformative agreement. SCELC’‘s characterization of these as transitional agreements seems more appropriate.
2. Reporting out: Shared Cost Working Group (EmilyB)
	1. Tracy explains that we need consistency on when renewals happen. This will take several years to implement.
	2. Jen suggests that the fiscal year would be the appropriate.
	3. There was a discussion about how the cost would be allocated - by the vendor’s formula or by some other metric.
	4. The committee will return with a couple of models.
3. Reporting out: EAR Redesign (CarlosR, JenF & CesarC)
	1. Task Force has been meeting bi-weekly developing a survey that will build on what was sent out last summer. It will go to CD and ER people. Libraries should see whether they can shorten the list. Plan is to give recipients three weeks to respond.
	2. EAR Committee is meeting at SCELCAPalooza and will share out the results of the survey.
	3. Goal remains to have a draft of the governance structure to COLD for the March meeting.
	4. Question about how best to share this out. Don’t want to exclude anyone, but at the same time want people who have experience with what is working and what is not.
	5. Jen explains that the survey is from what was done for CERPE - so then there are three sections.
		1. Communications - how should we communicate and similar questions.
		2. Roles - what roles should the SDLC play, the ER etc.
		3. Ability to be forward-looking - e.g., consortia management software.
	6. People will be forced to rate on a sliding scale.
	7. Demographics at the end: e.g., main affiliation.
4. Schol Com Statement for Chancellor Search (PatrickN)
	1. Will COLD want to write something in addition.
	2. Patrick will take it back to ScholComm and see what the committee wants to do.
5. COLD budget requests
	1. ISpie wants money and then some sort of ULMS event in the summer and then there were budget requests from last year.
	2. We have received some money from Gerry - but they had to buy out the staff so there are no salary savings for these sorts of projects.
	3. Jen observe that we have a mechanism for collecting money that we thought we were going to have
	4. Tracy proposed that we have the CO send out a CPO and we could pay for it.
	5. Discussion about different ways we might do various projects and agreement that the discussion should addressed at the full meeting in March.
6. COLD Slate (JenF)
	1. Jen proposes sending out the slate and asking deans what they would be willing to do - that we need people to step up.
	2. There are 7 positions to be filled including the vice-chair for the ULMS.
	3. We will take this up again at Full COLD.
7. Ex Libris discussion for Full COLD.
	1. The issue is how we best work with the company.
	2. Foolish to not have the discussion
	3. Looks like the 4-5:30 on Thursday works better for most people.
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