**EAR Meeting Agenda**

**Date/Time: November 2, 2017, 1:30pm-3:00pm**

**Place: Virtual through Zoom**

Attendees: M. Stover (chair), M. Van Hoeck (vice chair), A. Grombly, D. Steele Hellman, G. Wrenn, M. Wegmenn, J. Vassilakos-Long, T. Joiner, E. Choy, G. Torres. Y. Liu, J. Brandt, H. Cribbs

Members Absent: T. Strawn, K. Robles-Smith, L. Bliss

* Minute Taker – Amanda Grombly
  + Next meeting: David Steele Hellman
* Introduction of new Committee Member Mary Wegmann, Collection Development Librarian, Sonoma State
* General Updates
* Meetings
* 2018: 3 meetings (Doodle poll for Zoom)
  + Late January or Early February (Zoom)
  + Mid to late April (Zoom)
  + March 7 or 8 (in conjunction with SCELCapalooza at LMU)
* Status of Migration to Confluence (Michele)
  + Take EAR documents from Sharepoint to the new COLD Confluence site
  + Report at next meeting
* Full Text of Contracts in Alma (Nikki DeMoville)
  + Tabled
* Text and Data Mining in Licenses (Tim Strawn)
  + Tabled
* Campus and C.O. Updates and Offers
* C.O. update
  + Terri
    - Wrapping up Subscription Memos for January; about 8 left
    - MARCive agreement in the works; collecting credits. All of the campuses have will need to be willing to commit credits to the balance of the new contract
    - SDLC is working on getting memos, licenses, and title lists into Alma for all campuses to see; potentially addresses agenda issue Nikki had. You will have to be logged in to Alma to view them. Instructions to follow. Contracts will still be available in contract store, but SDLC does not have control of that access point.
    - Amanda asked about PS account codes for bibliographic utilities. Everyone does it differently.
* Training Webinars question (Terri Joiner)
  + David asked for an email call so we can ask our colleagues. Terri will follow up.
  + III used to allow for complex lists: call number range and date range, circulation stats in an LC range. A “How to” or tutorial on analytics was requested.
* Gale Access Program (David and others)
  + How this process started: Gale went to SDLC with pricing, then Gale started talking to individual campuses. Concerns expressed were that it did not appear to be coming from SDLC, it is expensive, and there is a lot of overlap between Gale and other resources.
* Oxford Research Encyclopedia (George)
  + Representative reaching out to gauge interest and pitch new resource.
    - It is unknown how many campuses already have this content.
    - OUP has not talked to SDLC about a package or opt-in for these resources yet.
    - The eBook subcommittee should look at offers like this and other core reference titles.
    - OUP is costly, and there are alternative reference products (Credo, Cambridge, etc.). Another issue to consider is the cost of packages vs. title-by-title.
* *Added item*, Readex trial:
  + Mark will send out the information. New collections that are on offer. They’ve contacted Mark and SDLC about this trial. Discussion of previous interactions with vendor. This is the digital/historical content, not the Newbank side.
* Proquest Textbook Initiative (Mark, David, and others)
  + Summary of Mark’s email that there are concerns about making sure Affordable Learning Solutions staff at Chancellor’s Office are involved, especially with regards to budget, but also concerns relate to strain on library resources (infrastructure) and faculty choice. In Australia, the library has a lot of control over textbook solution.
  + One scalable method is working with the vendor for supplemental or required readings rather than traditional textbooks. We might see more success approaching acquisition of the required readings than the traditional, expensive textbooks. Different campus approaches to textbook acquisition were discussed. Recurring readings are covered in this approach, but changes in textbooks or readings will always be an issue.
  + Brief discussion of the role of the bookstore on campus. Amanda disagrees with EAR involvement in textbook purchases because of cost and academic freedom. Members talked about how they fund different resources and the sustainability of purchasing textbooks in the library. David will draft a poll to survey how and whether textbooks are funded in the library and how they are funded. EAR can review the draft, then David will send it out to the Collection Development list. Jill asked about an ALS survey. Some campuses are writing textbooks; others are moving toward course readers or aggregated resources.
* Cabell’s “Blacklist” (Mark and others)
  + State-wide Academic Senate and others are interested in this at the SDLC level for RTP and other faculty research-related reasons. What does this do to scholarly communication librarians?
  + San Francisco added this last year. The use does not appear to be that high. Bakersfield has the whitelist, and it is required for faculty in one school to use. It does get used there. Stanislaus has the same situation as Bakersfield. Northridge also has part of the whitelist. It appears that the business schools are heavy users of the whitelists.
  + The blacklist might be useful for instruction to graduate students. Not all agree that it falls within the scope of EAR, but it could be useful for new faculty. It might be a usable tool for teaching evaluation of fake news and to see whether indexers are indexing the predatory journals.
  + It does have some value if it is in the right price range. SDLC has contacted Cabell’s for more information. A trial would be nice to see.
* Elsevier ebooks (Mark) (Tabled from last time but discussed over email)
  + Email consensus was that there is not a lot of interest in it. The offer did not make it to SDLC after EAR expressed disinterest. EAR members did not like the offer because it was too geared toward science research where those students mostly utilize journal content, and the package was too expensive even at the per book cost. $200-300 per book for a system-wide cost would have been a good deal, but per campus was too expensive.
  + EBA models are becoming more popular. We may see other vendors offering packages along these lines with more diversity of publishers and content. EBA is not a bad model, but the cost of the books in the end that is the issue. If you have a subscription with Alexander Street Press, you also have access to EBA to select titles for perpetual access even if they are pulled by the vendor. Docuseek offers a similar model.
  + David and George will have more information in a few months on ebooks.
* Open Access Crowdfunding Models (Mark) (Tabled from last time but discussed over email)
  + Does not work for a lot of campuses because it is discretionary money. The consensus over email was that ARL libraries and research institutions would fund these and the CSU should not be involved as a system in funding these models. One vendor was Reveal Digital and the other was Knowledge Unlatched.
* New Business
  + Amanda asked about Charleston; none of the other EAR members are attending.
* Adjournment