

## ECC Survey December 2014: Visual Summary of Results

2. For your campus, please indicate the importance of each existing ECC resource:


	1 Least Importance	2 Low Importance	3 Moderate Importance	4 High Importance	5 Highest Importance	Responses
ABI/INFORM Complete (ProQuest)	0 0.0%	1 4.2%	3 12.5%	9 37.5%	11 45.8%	24
Academic Search Premier (EBSCOhost)	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.3%	22 91.7%	24
Biological Abstracts (EBSCOhost, Thomson Reuters)	0 0.0%	1 4.2%	4 16.7%	11 45.8%	8 33.3%	24
CINAHL Plus Full-Text (EBSCOhost)	2 8.3%	1 4.2%	3 12.5%	7 29.2%	11 45.8%	24
CollegeSource Online	6 25.0%	13 54.2%	2 8.3%	2 8.3%	1 4.2%	24
Communication & Mass Media Complete (EBSCOhost)	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	6 25.0%	10 41.7%	6 25.0%	24
CQ Researcher Online	0 0.0%	3 12.5%	3 12.5%	13 54.2%	5 20.8%	24
Digital Dissertations, Subset A: Humanities and Social Sciences (ProQuest)	0 0.0%	4 16.7%	7 29.2%	10 41.7%	3 12.5%	24
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	2 8.3%	8 33.3%	12 50.0%	24
JSTOR [Arts & Sciences I-XII, Life Sciences]	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 12.5%	21 87.5%	24
LexisNexis Academic	0 0.0%	3 12.5%	4 16.7%	9 37.5%	8 33.3%	24
Los Angeles Times current (ProQuest)	2 8.3%	2 8.3%	7 29.2%	5 20.8%	8 33.3%	24
MathSciNet [data access fee only]	0 0.0%	3 12.5%	8 33.3%	11 45.8%	2 8.3%	24
Mergent Online	1 4.2%	3 12.5%	3 12.5%	12 50.0%	5 20.8%	24
MLA Intl. Bibliography (EBSCOhost, Gale, ProQuest)	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	4 16.7%	11 45.8%	7 29.2%	24
NBC Learn Higher Ed	16 66.7%	6 25.0%	2 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	24
Newsstand (ProQuest)	0 0.0%	1 4.2%	4 16.7%	10 41.7%	9 37.5%	24

	1 Least Importance	2 Low Importance	3 Moderate Importance	4 High Importance	5 Highest Importance	2 Responses
Oxford English Dictionary	1 4.2%	4 16.7%	9 37.5%	6 25.0%	4 16.7%	24
Oxford Music Online [a.k.a. Grove Music]	1 4.2%	7 29.2%	9 37.5%	4 16.7%	3 12.5%	24
Project MUSE Standard Collection	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 12.5%	13 54.2%	8 33.3%	24
PsycARTICLES (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	2 8.3%	0 0.0%	3 12.5%	6 25.0%	13 54.2%	24
PsycINFO (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	2 8.3%	0 0.0%	2 8.3%	4 16.7%	16 66.7%	24
Safari Tech Books (ProQuest)	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.3%	11 45.8%	11 45.8%	24
Sociological Abstracts, includes Social Services Abstracts (ProQuest)	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	6 25.0%	10 41.7%	6 25.0%	24

## ECC Survey Dec. 2014: Question 3 (Top 5)


Rank the top 5 resources for your campus (with 1 being most important):

Top 1




Academic Search Premier (EBSCOhost)	83.3%	20
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	4.2%	1
JSTOR [Arts & Sciences I-XII, Life Sciences]	12.5%	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>

Top 2




ABI/INFORM Complete (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Academic Search Premier (EBSCOhost)	8.3%		2
Biological Abstracts (EBSCOhost, Thomson Reuters)	4.2%		1
CQ Researcher Online	4.2%		1
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	25.0%		6
JSTOR [Arts & Sciences I-XII, Life Sciences]	29.2%		7
PsycINFO (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	20.8%		5
Safari Tech Books (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
<b>Total</b>			<b>24</b>

Top 3




ABI/INFORM Complete (ProQuest)	4.2%	1
Academic Search Premier (EBSCOhost)	4.2%	1
CINAHL Plus Full-Text (EBSCOhost)	12.5%	3
Digital Dissertations, Subset A: Humanities and Social Sciences (ProQuest)	4.2%	1
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	4.2%	1
JSTOR [Arts & Sciences I-XII, Life Sciences]	33.3%	8
Los Angeles Times current (ProQuest)	4.2%	1
Project MUSE Standard Collection	4.2%	1
PsycARTICLES (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	4.2%	1
PsycINFO (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	16.7%	4
Safari Tech Books (ProQuest)	8.3%	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>

Top 4



ABI/INFORM Complete (ProQuest)	16.7%		4
Academic Search Premier (EBSCOhost)	4.2%		1
Biological Abstracts (EBSCOhost, Thomson Reuters)	8.3%		2
CINAHL Plus Full-Text (EBSCOhost)	16.7%		4
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
JSTOR [Arts & Sciences I-XII, Life Sciences]	8.3%		2
LexisNexis Academic	4.2%		1
MLA Intl. Bibliography (EBSCOhost, Gale, ProQuest)	8.3%		2
Newsstand (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Project MUSE Standard Collection	8.3%		2
PsycARTICLES (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	4.2%		1
PsycINFO (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	8.3%		2
Safari Tech Books (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Total			24




ABI/INFORM Complete (ProQuest)	12.5%	3
Biological Abstracts (EBSCOhost, Thomson Reuters)	4.2%	1
CINAHL Plus Full-Text (EBSCOhost)	12.5%	3
Communication & Mass Media Complete (EBSCOhost)	8.3%	2
CQ Researcher Online	4.2%	1
JSTOR [Arts & Sciences I-XII, Life Sciences]	4.2%	1
LexisNexis Academic	12.5%	3
Mergent Online	4.2%	1
Newsstand (ProQuest)	4.2%	1
Oxford Music Online [a.k.a. Grove Music]	4.2%	1
Project MUSE Standard Collection	20.8%	5
PsycINFO (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	4.2%	1
Safari Tech Books (ProQuest)	4.2%	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>

### ECC Survey Dec. 2014: Question 4 (Bottom 5)


Rank the bottom 5 resources for your campus (with 1 being least important):

Bottom 1




CollegeSource Online	12.5%	3
NBC Learn Higher Ed	83.3%	20
Oxford English Dictionary	4.2%	1
Total		24

Bottom 2




CollegeSource Online	50.0%		12
CQ Researcher Online	4.2%		1
Los Angeles Times current (ProQuest)	16.7%		4
MathSciNet [data access fee only]	8.3%		2
Mergent Online	4.2%		1
NBC Learn Higher Ed	8.3%		2
Oxford English Dictionary	4.2%		1
Oxford Music Online [a.k.a. Grove Music]	4.2%		1
Total			24

Bottom 3




CINAHL Plus Full-Text (EBSCOhost)	12.5%		3
CQ Researcher Online	4.2%		1
Digital Dissertations, Subset A: Humanities and Social Sciences (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	8.3%		2
Mergent Online	4.2%		1
NBC Learn Higher Ed	4.2%		1
Oxford English Dictionary	25.0%		6
Oxford Music Online [a.k.a. Grove Music]	25.0%		6
Sociological Abstracts, includes Social Services Abstracts (ProQuest)	12.5%		3
Total			24

Bottom 4



CollegeSource Online	4.2%		1
Digital Dissertations, Subset A: Humanities and Social Sciences (ProQuest)	12.5%		3
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Los Angeles Times current (ProQuest)	16.7%		4
MathSciNet [data access fee only]	29.2%		7
Mergent Online	4.2%		1
Newsstand (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Oxford Music Online [a.k.a. Grove Music]	20.8%		5
PsycARTICLES (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	4.2%		1
<b>Total</b>			<b>24</b>

Bottom 5



Biological Abstracts (EBSCOhost, Thomson Reuters)	4.2%		1
CollegeSource Online	8.3%		2
Communication & Mass Media Complete (EBSCOhost)	4.2%		1
CQ Researcher Online	4.2%		1
Digital Dissertations, Subset A: Humanities and Social Sciences (ProQuest)	8.3%		2
LexisNexis Academic	8.3%		2
MathSciNet [data access fee only]	8.3%		2
Mergent Online	4.2%		1
MLA Intl. Bibliography (EBSCOhost, Gale, ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Oxford English Dictionary	20.8%		5
Oxford Music Online [a.k.a. Grove Music]	4.2%		1
Project MUSE Standard Collection	4.2%		1
PsycINFO (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	8.3%		2
Safari Tech Books (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Sociological Abstracts, includes Social Services Abstracts (ProQuest)	4.2%		1
Total			24

5. The following products are not part of the ECC but have a large number of CSU subscribers or have been identified as being of high interest. If it were possible to expand the ECC, what level of interest would your campus have in each item?

	1No interest		2Low interest		3Moderate interest		4High interest		5Highest interest		Total	
Academic Search Complete (EBSCOhost)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	5	20.8%	17	70.8%	24	100%
ACLS ebooks collection	2	8.3%	4	16.7%	13	54.2%	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	24	100%
ACM Digital Library	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	10	41.7%	6	25.0%	5	20.8%	24	100%
AIP Journals package	0	0.0%	5	20.8%	10	41.7%	6	25.0%	3	12.5%	24	100%
ACS Journals frontfile	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	12	50.0%	6	25.0%	24	100%
ARTstor	2	8.3%	2	8.3%	8	33.3%	7	29.2%	5	20.8%	24	100%
Chicano Database (EBSCOhost)	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	8	33.3%	7	29.2%	4	16.7%	24	100%
EconLit (EBSCOhost)	1	4.2%	6	25.0%	9	37.5%	4	16.7%	4	16.7%	24	100%
Emerald 120	4	16.7%	5	20.8%	8	33.3%	6	25.0%	1	4.2%	24	100%
EthnicNewsWatch (ProQuest)	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	9	37.5%	6	25.0%	4	16.7%	24	100%
GenderWatch (ProQuest)	3	12.5%	5	20.8%	6	25.0%	6	25.0%	4	16.7%	24	100%
GeoRef (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	1	4.2%	4	16.7%	8	33.3%	8	33.3%	3	12.5%	24	100%
Historical Los Angeles Times (ProQuest)	4	16.7%	3	12.5%	7	29.2%	4	16.7%	6	25.0%	24	100%
Historical New York Times (ProQuest)	3	12.5%	4	16.7%	8	33.3%	4	16.7%	5	20.8%	24	100%
IEEE Xplore [a.k.a. IEEE/IET Digital Library]	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	7	29.2%	7	29.2%	9	37.5%	24	100%
Mental Measurements Yearbook w/Tests in Print	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	11	45.8%	5	20.8%	6	25.0%	24	100%
Oxford Art Online [a.k.a. Grove Art]	3	12.5%	7	29.2%	8	33.3%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	24	100%
Oxford Journals Online	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	11	45.8%	6	25.0%	5	20.8%	24	100%
Philosopher's Index (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	2	8.3%	6	25.0%	10	41.7%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	24	100%
Science Online (AAAS)	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	6	25.0%	8	33.3%	9	37.5%	24	100%
SportDiscus with Full Text ((EBSCOhost)	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	8	33.3%	4	16.7%	7	29.2%	24	100%
Web of Science [citation indexes: SSCI, AHCI, SCI] (Thomson Reuters)	0	0.0%	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	10	41.7%	9	37.5%	24	100%

#### Write-Ins:

ScienceDirect got 5 votes (1 higher, 4 highest)

IBIS World got 5 votes (1 moderate, 4 highest)

Sage got 2 votes (2 highest)

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory got 2 votes (2 highest)


Taylor & Francis got 2 votes (1 moderate, 1 highest; specifically for the Education collection)

1 each, highest: EBSCO Alumni & JSTOR Alumni, Factiva, Historical SF Chronicle, RefWorks, Gale Virtual Reference Library, Simply Map, WestLaw

1 each, high: Cambridge Journals, Compendex, Criminal Justice Abstracts w/Full Text, Digital Dissertations Part B (Sciences), Education Research Complete, Naxos Music Library, SCOPUS, SciFinder Scholar (Chemical Abstracts), SocINDEX, and Wiley Journals

1 each, moderate: Duke University Press Journals, Sociological Index w/Full Text

6. Please put a check in front of the streaming media platforms that your campus currently uses. Indicate next to the streaming media provider what type of subscriptions and/or purchasing arrangements you have. For example: collection subscription, collection purchase, patron driven acquisition, title-by-title selection, etc.



Streaming Media Provider	Percentage	Count
Alexander Street Press	54.2%	13
Ambrose Digital	37.5%	9
DocuSeek 2	4.2%	1
Films on Demand	41.7%	10
Kanopy	41.7%	10
Swank Digital Campus	4.2%	1
Other (not listed above)	20.8%	5
We currently do not provide streaming media.	16.7%	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>

#### Responses "Alexander Street Press"

Response	Count
<i>Left Blank</i>	12
Music Online subscription via SCELG	1
Nursing Education in Video	1
Psychological Experiments Online	1
VAST collection	1
collection purchase	1


<b>Responses "Alexander Street Press"</b>	<b>14 Count</b>
collection purchase, collection subscription	1
collection purchases	1
collection subscription	3
collection subscription and purchase	1
collection subscription, collection purchase, title-by-title selection	1
<b>Responses "Ambrose Digital"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	16
Shakespeare Plays only	1
Shakespeare collection subscription	1
Subscription via Chancellor's Office	1
collection subscription	5
<b>Responses "DocuSeek 2"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	23
title-by-title selection	1
<b>Responses "Films on Demand"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	14
Business and Economics	1
Campus direct subscription	1
Collection subscription via C.O.	1
collection purchase	1
collection subscription	1
collection subscriptions	2
subscription	1
title by title selection	1
title-by-title selection	1
<b>Responses "Kanopy"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	15
Campus direct subscription-Media Edu. Foundation Collection only	1
PDA and title by title selection	1
Title by Title	1
a few titles	1

Responses "Kanopy"	15 Count
patron driven acquisition, title-by-title selection	1
patron driven acquisitions	1
title by title	1
title by title selection, patron driven acquisition	1
title-by-title	1

Responses "Swank Digital Campus"	Count
<i>Left Blank</i>	23
title by title	1


Responses "Other (not listed above)"	Count
<i>Left Blank</i>	19
NAXOS Music Library	1
New Day Digital (title-by-title)	1
Psychotherapy.net subscription, BBC title purchases	1
We provide our own streaming media	1
individual titles from various providers	1

7. Would you be in favor of adding another streaming video product to the ECC?



Yes	83.3%		20
No	16.7%		4
Total			24

If yes, which package(s)?



Package	Percentage	Count
Alexander Street Press	70.0%	14
Ambrose Digital	5.0%	1
DocuSeek 2	10.0%	2
Films on Demand	70.0%	14
Kanopy	65.0%	13
Swank Digital Campus	25.0%	5
Other (not listed above)	15.0%	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>20</b>

**Responses "Alexander Street Press"**

**Count**

Left Blank	20
If we own	1
collection? not sure	1
drama	1
whatever works for the rest of the CSU libraries	1

**Responses "Ambrose Digital"**

**Count**

Left Blank	23
If we own	1

**Responses "DocuSeek 2"**

**Count**

Left Blank	23
If we own	1


<b>Responses "Films on Demand"</b>	<b>Count</b> <sup>17</sup>
<i>Left Blank</i>	20
If we own	1
collection subscription	1
complete	1
whatever works for the rest of the CSU libraries	1

<b>Responses "Kanopy"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	19
If we own	1
Psychotherapy & Nursing	1
Title driven acquisition with discount if CSU wide	1
collection purchase or subscription	1
whatever works for the rest of the CSU libraries	1

<b>Responses "Swank Digital Campus"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	22
If we own	1
complete	1


<b>Responses "Other (not listed above)"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	21
Digital Theatre Plus	1
If we own	1
Journal of Visualized Experimentation (JOVE)	1

8. Would you be in favor of adding another ebook package to the ECC?





Yes	75.0%		18
No	25.0%		6
Total			24

If yes, which ebook package(s)? (indicate specific subject collection, if applicable)



EBSCO Academic ebook collection	38.9%		7
EBSCO other ebook collection	16.7%		3
JSTOR ebooks	66.7%		12
Total			18

Oxford Scholarship Online	33.3%		6
ScienceDirect ebooks	50.0%		9
Springer ebooks	77.8%		14
Other (not listed above)	16.7%		3
Total			18

<b>Responses "EBSCO other ebook collection"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	23
if we own	1
<b>Responses "JSTOR ebooks"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	23
if we own	1
<b>Responses "Oxford Scholarship Online"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	22
if we own	1
multiple subject collections	1
<b>Responses "ScienceDirect ebooks"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	23
if we own	1
<b>Responses "Springer ebooks"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	21
Behavioral Sciences	1
all, esp comp sci & engineering	1
if we own	1
<b>Responses "Other (not listed above)"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	21
Taylor & Francis	1
Wiley	1
if we own	1
<b>Responses "Other (not listed above)"</b>	<b>Count</b>
<i>Left Blank</i>	21
GVRL	1

Responses "Other (not listed above)"

Count 20


Routledge

1

if we own

1

9. As you consider the variety of library resources in the CSU and at your campus, what type of material should be in stable, long-term contracts, universal, administered centrally?



Electronic Books	83.3%		20
Electronic Journals	100.0%		24
Electronic Newspapers	79.2%		19
Electronic Journals Backfiles	83.3%		20
Electronic Newspapers Backfiles	66.7%		16
Audio	8.3%		2
Images	16.7%		4
Video	41.7%		10
Archival Materials (examples: EEBO, North American Women's Letters and Diaries)	29.2%		7
Business Data	45.8%		11
GIS Data	25.0%		6
Government Documents	20.8%		5
General Discovery Tools (examples: Academic Search Premier, Gale OneFile)	83.3%		20
<b>Total</b>			<b>24</b>


Subject-Specific Discovery Tools (examples: PsycINFO, Biological Abstracts)	66.7%		16
Other	4.2%		1
Total			24

Responses "Other"	Count
Left Blank	23

Legal resources	1
-----------------	---

Responses "Other"	Count
Left Blank	24

10. What type of material should be evolving, campus-specific, administered locally?



Electronic Books	33.3%		8
Electronic Journals	20.8%		5
Electronic Newspapers	16.7%		4
Electronic Journals Backfiles	20.8%		5
Electronic Newspapers Backfiles	25.0%		6
Audio	66.7%		16
Images	58.3%		14
Video	54.2%		13
Total			24

Archival Materials (examples: EEBO, North American Women's Letters and Diaries)	50.0%		12
Business Data	33.3%		8
GIS Data	58.3%		14
Government Documents	58.3%		14
General Discovery Tools (examples: Academic Search Premier, Gale OneFile)	12.5%		3
Subject-Specific Discovery Tools (examples: PsycINFO, Biological Abstracts)	37.5%		9
Other	12.5%		3
		Total	24

Responses "Other"	Count
<i>Left Blank</i>	21
Anything outside the core	1
Data Files	1
Not a simple question.	1
Responses "Other"	Count
<i>Left Blank</i>	24

#### 11. Additional comments relating to the ECC (optional):

Count	Response
1	Best survey design evah!
1	Several of our librarians commented that all CSUs should have Academic Search Complete.
1	Please note, I do not have an #5 for the "Rank the bottom 5 resources" section, but I was required to select before I could move on, so please remove #5 Oxford Eng. Dictionary from my ranking. Please also note, in the "expand the ECC" section, I have more suggested databases than the rows available, so I placed two or three of them in a single row.
1	I think that there are things that should be managed centrally; in fact, a very large portion. However, the campus will still have to manage a variety of resources because each campus is different. And I don't mean programming exclusively. It is also an issue of demographics and culture. There are ways that the student body and faculty like to access resources at each individual campus. There are places where there is a greater emphasis and outreach to undergraduates. There are campuses that play a much larger instructional role and there are campuses where the academic library has a very robust relationship with their community because of the small size of the surrounding population. This affects research interests and values because colleges are a reflection of their constituents. We are always going to have some "uniqueness" to say ALL ebooks will be managed or purchased centrally doesn't seem workable. If you are referring to a shared system in which everyone has managers from each institution participating then I think that is more reasonable. A standardized core should be determined; however, there needs to be the ability to customize.

## Count Response

- 
- 1 We want EAR to make it a priority to invest in system wide streaming video subscription/purchasing. General comments about EAR survey: For comparisons, we'd like to see similar resources categorized in the EAR survey. It is very difficult to rank and compare resources that are different in nature. Please separate • aggregator databases from journal packages (Academic Search Premier vs. ProjectMUSE) • multi-disciplinary resources from discipline-based ones (e.g. ScienceDirect vs. EconLit)
- 
- 1 Regarding our placement of Academic Complete in the bottom five resources and our "yes" on adding another ebook package to the ECC, we would like to have some multidisciplinary ebook collection as a part of the ECC, and we think that we should continue to look into our options. Looking further into JSTOR and Springer ebook collections, and possibly systemwide purchases, rather than subscriptions, could be potential options. Regarding streaming video, Kanopy is our favorite platform and would be our first choice for an ECC streaming video option.
- 
- 1 If additional ebook collections are acquired we would like to see something other than EBSCO which overlaps with ebrary to a great extent
- 
- 1 After our most recent effort, we expect that funding for the ECC will not expand beyond the present \$5 million limit. Given that we would suggest that continuing to erode the current collection with additions outside of books and periodicals could be detrimental to the usefulness of the resources that remain within the ECC. Higher value resources such as Elsevier Science Direct, Sage, Oxford University Press, Springer, and Wiley should be included in the ECC. Current efforts at breaking up the major packages like Wiley are especially detrimental to mid and smaller campuses like ours. Several high value resources -- Business Source Premier, America History and Life, and Historical Abstracts were not included in this year survey. If those were included in the survey, then our ranking would be as follows: 1 --Academic Search Premier, 2 -- Business Source Premier, 3 -- America History and Life, 4 -- Historical Abstracts, 5 -- PsycInfo, 6 -- PsycArticles, 7 -- CINAHL plus full text, 8 -- Biological Abstracts, 9 -- ebrary Academic Complete ebooks, 10 -- LexisNexis Academic, 11 -- ABI Inform Complete, 12 -- Proquest Newsstand, 13 -- LA Times (current), 14 -- Project Muse, 15 -- Oxford English Dictionary, 16 -- CQ Researcher Online, 17 -- Mergent Online, 18 -- Safari Tech Books, 19 -- Comm and Mass Media Complete ... It would have been more useful to have a single list that includes both ECC and non ECC resources. It would also have been more useful to have them rank the listed resources from top to bottom regardless of cost.
- 
- 1 As regards the mix of material: I really don't think the type of material should dictate what's in or out of the ECC. I think it should be dependent upon what resources support the largest number of degree programs in the CSU. We do value the large full-text packages the ECC provides, but I wouldn't want to lose things like PsycINFO or Biological Abstracts just because they don't provide full text.
- 
- 1 before adding another ebook package, would need overlap analysis. Before adding streaming media, need to make sure content is more widely used. As to types of resources administered centrally, ECC should focus on resources widely used regardless of format and individual campuses can focus resources needed by their institution, regardless of format
- 
- 1 This is my first time completing this survey and I found it difficult without usage statistics and grouping unlike resource types together in one question.
- 
- 1 IBIS World, please. Business is among the most popular majors in the CSU system. It is time we filled the ECC gap and had industry information tools and databases in it.
- 
- 1 There are so many resources that are actually highly important to us although we tried to make a distinction. We hope that there will be support for some new resources. Faculty and students are especially interested in additional electronic journals we can provide beyond our current holdings. Publisher databases are of great interest to us as opposed to subscribing to individual journal titles because of various staffing issues and the ease of connecting them up to our databases. Because of the budget and staffing, electronic format is the way we are delivering most of the recently published books. Using e-books for Affordable Learning Solutions is very important to us.

## Another way of looking at the ECC rankings....

Current ECC	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Academic Search Premier (EBSCOhost)	0	0	0	2	22	118
JSTOR [Arts & Sciences I-XII, Life Sciences]	0	0	0	3	21	117
Safari Tech Books (ProQuest)	0	0	2	11	11	105
PsycINFO (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	2	0	2	4	16	104
ABI/INFORM Complete (ProQuest)	0	1	3	9	11	102
ebrary Academic Complete ebooks (ProQuest)	1	1	2	8	12	101
Project MUSE Standard Collection	0	0	3	13	8	101
PsycARTICLES (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	2	0	3	6	13	100
Newsstand (ProQuest)	0	1	4	10	9	99
Biological Abstracts (EBSCOhost, Thomson Reuters)	0	1	4	11	8	98
CINAHL Plus Full-Text (EBSCOhost)	2	1	3	7	11	96
LexisNexis Academic	0	3	4	9	8	94
MLA Intl. Bibliography (EBSCOhost, Gale, ProQuest)	1	1	4	11	7	94
CQ Researcher Online	0	3	3	13	5	92
Communication & Mass Media Complete (EBSCOhost)	1	1	6	10	6	91
Sociological Abstracts, includes Social Services Abstracts	1	1	6	10	6	91
Mergent Online	1	3	3	12	5	89
Los Angeles Times current (ProQuest)	2	2	7	5	8	87
Digital Dissertations, Subset A: Humanities and Social Sci	0	4	7	10	3	84
MathSciNet [data access fee only]	0	3	8	11	2	84
Oxford English Dictionary	1	4	9	6	4	80
Oxford Music Online [a.k.a. Grove Music]	1	7	9	4	3	73
CollegeSource Online	6	13	2	2	1	51
NBC Learn Higher Ed	16	6	2	0	0	34
Not Current ECC	1	2	3	4	5	
Academic Search Complete (EBSCOhost)	0	0	2	5	17	111
Science Online (AAAS)	0	1	6	8	9	97
Web of Science [citation indexes: SSCI, AHCI, SCI] (Thom	0	3	2	10	9	97
IEEE Xplore [a.k.a. IEEE/IET Digital Library]	0	1	7	7	9	96
ACS Journals frontfile	1	2	3	12	6	93
Mental Measurements Yearbook w/Tests in Print	2	0	11	5	6	85
ACM Digital Library	1	2	10	6	5	84
Oxford Journals Online	0	2	11	6	5	84
ARTstor	2	2	8	7	5	83
SportDiscus with Full Text ((EBSCOhost)	3	2	8	4	7	82
GeoRef (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	1	4	8	8	3	80
AIP Journals package	0	5	10	6	3	79
Chicano Database (EBSCOhost)	3	2	8	7	4	79
EthnicNewsWatch (ProQuest)	2	3	9	6	4	79
Historical New York Times (ProQuest)	3	4	8	4	5	76
GenderWatch (ProQuest)	3	5	6	6	4	75
Philosopher's Index (EBSCOhost, ProQuest)	2	6	10	4	2	70
Emerald 120	4	5	8	6	1	67
Historical Los Angeles Times (ProQuest)	4	3	7	4	6	67
EconLit (EBSCOhost)	1	6	9	4	4	66

ACLS ebooks collection	2	4	13	3	2	61
Oxford Art Online [a.k.a. Grove Art]	3	7	8	4	2	57