**EAR Analytics Group Work Plan**

Charge:

**Volunteers:** Perruso (Long Beach)Smith (Fresno), Strawn (SLO), Ware (Sacramento), and Wrenn (Humboldt)

Charge: Provide COLD with an executive summary of on the state of out analytics for collective and individual decision making on electronic resources.  Plus any recommendations for change.

Premise: The CSU Libraries will implement a ULMS in summer 2017, which will provide a suite of central capabilities that could create collective opportunities and efficiencies. Available funding in the CSU continues to move from central to local allocation, and the allocation of local funding will most likely be driven by the Graduation Initiative. The funding allocated via COLD is not expected to increase in the near future.

Proposal: EAR charges 3-5 subgroups led by EAR members and populated with knowledgeable non-members to consider a way(s) forward on issues raised by past and present EAR committees. Provide ways forward at the **April 7 meeting**.

* Where does data come from (IPEDS, ULMS, CDers, Vendors) centrally and individually.
* What is the quality of that data
* When is it available
* What does it tell us
* What decisions could it help us make in terms of acquisition, negotiation, renegotiation, renewal, or elimination
* What needs changing to make better decisions in terms of acquisition, negotiation, renegotiation, renewal, or elimination
* What might be missing to make better decisions in terms of acquisition, negotiation, renegotiation, renewal, or elimination

**Tasks:**

Immediately: Choose Chair

 George Wrenn will chair the group.

Week of January 30: Develop Work Plan, Send to Amy Wallace

February: Research

 Analytics functionality in ULMS

 Establish Data Needs, Sources for Individual/Collective Decision-Making

 Survey Campuses re Needs ( two week response time?)

* What decisions could it help us make in terms of acquisition, negotiation, renegotiation, renewal, or elimination
* What needs changing to make better decisions in terms of acquisition, negotiation, renegotiation, renewal, or elimination
* What might be missing to make better decisions in terms of acquisition, negotiation, renegotiation, renewal, or elimination

 Consult with Jessica Hartwigsen and SDLC

 Outline of report complete (end of month)

March: Prepare Report